

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

| | |
|---|--|
| 1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | 5. DATE OF REQUEST: December 1, 2014 NEED RESPONSE BY: December 8, 2014 |
| 2. REQUESTOR NAME: Cecilia Montano | 6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Riverside County |
| 3. PHONE NO.: (951) 358-3046 | 7. SUBJECT: Applicant IEVS report at redetermination/recertification |
| 4. REGULATION CITE(S): MPP 20-006, 20-006.21, 20-006.22 | 8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). Manual of Policies and Procedures 20-006, 20-006.21, 20-006.22 |
| 9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY): Are counties required to obtain a new Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) Applicant inquiry at redetermination/recertification of a case? | |

| |
|--|
| 10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER: The case is an established on going case, the customers are ongoing recipients and counties should not obtain an IEVS Applicant inquiry at redetermination/recertification for recipient customers. The IEVS recipient matches should be used for ongoing cases. |
| 11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): CDSS concurs with the CWD's answer. Applicant IEVS should be used for new applications and regular IEVS should be used for all ongoing cases. |

FOR CDSS USE

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| DATE RECEIVED: December 1, 2014 | DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: December 9, 2014 (WEB) |
|------------------------------------|---|

**CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION (Continued)**

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| <p>1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> QC</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other:</p> | <p>5. DATE OF REQUEST:</p> | <p>NEED RESPONSE BY:</p> |
| | <p>6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:</p> | |
| | <p>7. SUBJECT:</p> | |
| <p>2. REQUESTOR NAME:</p> | <p>8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i></p> <p>NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).</p> | |
| <p>3. PHONE NO.:</p> | | |
| <p>4. REGULATION CITE(S):</p> | | |